







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/987,358  | 11/14/2001     | Katsuya Nakamoto     | Q67188                  | 6941             |  |
| 7:  | 590 09/05/2003 |                      |                         |                  |  |
| SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037 |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
|   |                |                      | DOLINAR, ANDREW M       |                  |  |
|   |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |                |                      | 3747                    | 5                |  |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Í in  |
|--|--|---|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
| 1,000  | 09/987,358   | NAKAMOTO ET AL.   |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|  | Andrew M. Dolinar  | 3747  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>Period for Reply   | appears on the cover sheet with  | the correspondence address  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  | N.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repeply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tute, cause the application to become ABA | oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _   | · ·  |   |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐  | This action is non-final.  |   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under  |  |   |
| Disposition of Claims  | or Exparto Quayio, 1000 O.D  | . 17, 400 0.0. 210.   |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application  | n.   |   |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd   | rawn from consideration.   |   |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.   |  |   |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers  | d/or election requirement.   |   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami   | ner.   |   |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November 2001</u> is  | s/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ obj   | ected to by the Examiner.   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to  | the drawing(s) be held in abeyan   | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on   | is: a)□ approved b)□ dis   | capproved by the Examiner.  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in  | reply to this Office action.   |   |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | Examiner.  |   |
| riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |   |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore   | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §   | 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  |  |   |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>  | ents have been received.   |   |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume   | ents have been received in Ap  | plication No  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the present of application from the International I</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the present of</li></ul> | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | •   |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome   | stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §  | 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language parts. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome   |  |   |
| ttachment(s)   | · · · · · ·  |   |
| ) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s  | 5) Notice of Inf   | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |
| Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office   | Action Summary   | Part of Paper No. 5   |



Application/Control Number: 09/987,358

Art Unit: 3747

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

### Drawings

Figure 8 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "and so on" in claims 1 and 5 renders the claims indefinite because the claims include elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "and so on"), thereby rendering the scope of the claims unascertainable.

Page 3



Application/Control Number: 09/987,358

Art Unit: 3747

# Allowabl Subj ct Matter

Claims 1-9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

### **Prior Art**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Zimmermann et al discloses a programmable vehicle control unit having a serial interface and filter circuitry.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew M. Dolinar whose telephone number is (703) 308-1948. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thu. 7:45 - 6:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Andrew M. Dolinar Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

AMD September 4, 2003